High Performance Web Server in Haskell

2011.7.12

IIJ Innovation Institute Inc. Kazu Yamamoto

















The barrier of 1,024 connections



The IO manager is implemented using select.



select cannot handle over
1,024 files/connections.



If GHC 6.12 receives over 1,024 connections, resource exhaustion exception happens.

1024



10









Profiling



File IO is dominant. Why, Mighttpd slower than Apache?

% ab -n 2000	-c 200 -k	http://	localhost/
COST CENTRE	MODULE	%time %	alloc
fileGet mighty fileInfo fileMapper	File File File File	73.3 20.0 6.7 0.0	37.4 57.9 2.9 1.1



Ah, it's overhead of select!



Any hopes?

15





Between Mighttpd 1 and 2

- Parallel Haskell Project
 - Budget from MS Research
 - Steering by well-typed
 - IIJ-II was chosen as a partner
 - well-typed and IIJ-II have skype meeting every other week

GHC 7 (aka GHC 6.14)

New IO manager based on epoll() and kqueue()

Web application framework boom

- Snap
- HappStack
- Yesod
 - WAI (Web Application Interface)

Testing GHC 7

- New IO manager of GHC 7.0.1 was unstable
 - I found 6 bugs
 - GHC HQ and well-type fixed them
- Bugs
 - kqueue socket disappears on Mac if demonized http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/ghc/ticket/4449
 - Cannot wait signals
 http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/ghc/ticket/4504
 - Event logs are strange http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/ghc/ticket/4512
 - IO manager would be dead-locked
 http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/ghc/ticket/4514
 - Behavior of getContents is strange
 http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/ghc/ticket/4895
 - hsc2hs cannot work on Mac http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/ghc/ticket/4852
- New IO manager of GHC 7.0.2 is now stable









Warp and mighttpd 2

Benchmarking in my environment

Host

- Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU L5520 @ 2.27GHz x 8, 4 cores for each (32 cores)
- 24G memory
- Ubuntu 10.04, KVM 0.12.3

Guest

- 4 cores
- IG memory
- Ubuntu 10.10

Warp (memory only) 23928.1 req/s, 1 core, w/o logging

Mighttpd 2 (with static files)
 4229.7 req/s, 1 core, w/o logging

Show-stoppers

Tree based dictionary for Content-Type: O(log n) \rightarrow Array-based immutable hash O(1) Date.Time To parse and format HTTP Date (e.g. Last-Modified:) Too slow. Consuming 30-40% of CPU time Many division on type transforms Inefficient list programming \rightarrow Creating simple ByteString based library System.Posix.Files.getFileStatus Getting size and modification time of files (stat()) \rightarrow Caching in memory Removing all cached information every 10 seconds System calls Context switches are evil for user threads

sendfile

- The sendfile library
 - Unnecessary seek() and stat()
- Creating simple-sendfile library
 - Calling sendfile() only
 - No standard exits
 - Linux
 - FreeBSD
 - Mac
 - Fallback
- System calls in the current code
 - HTTP requests
 - recv()
 - HTTP response -- header writev()
 - HTTP response -- body
 - open()
 - sendfile() -- Note that stat() information is cached
 - close()
 - File descriptor could be cached but the logic would be very complex

Benchmark on a single core

nginx

- 22713.3 req/s, 1 core, w/o logging
- Warp (memory only)
 23928.1 req/s, 1 core, w/o logging

mighttpd2

- 21601.6 req/s, 1 core, w/o logging
- 4229.7 req/s, 1 core, w/o logging, not tuned

Scaling on multi cores

New IO manager is a single kernel thread +RTS -Nx does not help to scale on multi cores +RTS -Nx is not friendly to forkProcess Introducing the prefork technique again nginx with 3 workers 30471.2 req/s, 3 cores, w/o logging 22713.3 req/s, 1 core, w/o logging mightpd2 with 3 prefork processes 61309.0 req/s, 3 cores, w/o logging 21601.6 req/s, 1 core, w/o logging

Logging is the biggest show-stoppers

```
128.141.242.20 - - [08/Jul/2011:17:05:14 +0900]
"GET /favicon.ico" 404 11
```

- Data.Time again
 - → Caching formatted string Calling gettimeofday() every second Formatting with Data.Time due to time zone
- getnameinfo() in C
 - \rightarrow Simply implement in Haskell

Various logging schemes

Serialization

- Haskell channel (atomic queue)
 Buffering in memory
- Appending a file
- Writing a file
 - truncate() and mmap()
 - Blocking write()
 - Non-blocking write()
- File IO dedicated process with shared memory
- Implemented many combinations...
- Appeared that the simplest one is best
 - Non-blocking write() with Handle on each process
 - Handle is automatically locked by MVar.
 - Multi line buffering with BlockBuffering
 - hPut flushes the buffer before buffering if there is not enough space
 - So, hPut never split a line

Benchmark with logging

- nginx with 3 workers
 - 25035.2 req/s, 3 cores, w/ logging
 - 30471.2 req/s, 3 cores, w/o logging
- mighttpd2 with 3 prefork processes
 - 31101.5 req/s, 3 cores, w/ logging
 - 61309.0 req/s, 3 cores, w/o logging
- Room for improvement in logging?

Conclusions so far

- Mighttpd 2 is fast enough
 - To one httperf Ping-Pong benchmark in one env, Mighttpd 2 is faster than nginx
- Haskell user thread is good for C10K
 - System calls are evils
 - Blocking IO is also evil
- Room for improvement in logging?
- Todo
 - Reverse proxy
 - Tackling multi-thread IO manager?
 - It would be hard. Worth trying?
 - Enhancing httperf
 - epoll() / kqueue()
 - ■IPv6